



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_6670
Title of the Manuscript:	Can We Predict Postgraduate Dental Training Choice?; The Nigerian Experience
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Title of study should be modified as: Predictors of postgraduate dental specialty training choice: the Nigerian experience. 2. The statistical tool used in analysing the data in this study is not clearly outlined. After doing the component factor analysis, it is best to use the 3 overall variables in logistic regression analysis in order to predict the speciality training which is likely to have greater strength or predictive value and then inferences can be drawn from there. I think authors would need the input of a statistician for this purpose. The introduction is too long and delved into areas which this study could not explain or do anything about for example a) Introduction of dental advisors- no part of this research investigated respondents view or appraisal of need of postgraduate advisors, it is more or less a suggested need on the part of the authors also b) model of assistance dental advisors need to shape choice of postgraduate specialty training was not a focus of this research and in fact this research did not in any way point this out.	Done The method we used was as advised by an expert in statistics and public health. Dental advisors was part of our objective , it was therefore important to discuss it. We agree this was our suggestion but as stated earlier, it was part of our objectives. Please see our objectives again. Thank you.
Minor REVISION comments	Lots of editorial corrections. 1. There are many one sentence paragraphs. 2. For exampole line 6 " insert postgraduate dental training, remove education.	Done



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 35, sentence incomplete or to be wholly restructured Line 112, change using all to “involving all” in human subject research you do not use humans but involve. The author might actually need the services of a native English Language editor.	Done Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The study is of good originality and the research focus is genuine, however the presentation and statistical analysis has to be greatly improved upon	